RIKEN-QHP-27

arXiv:1207.0003v1 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 29 Jun 2012

The Study of Goldstone Modes in ν=2 Bilayer Quantum Hall Systems

Yusuke Hama,[1, 2] Yoshimasa Hidaka,[2] G. Tsitsishvili,[3] and Z. F. Ezawa[4]

[1]*Department of Physics, The University of Tokyo, 113-0033, Tokyo, Japan*
[2]*Theoretical Research Division, Nishina Center, RIKEN, Wako 351-0198, Japan*
[3] *Department of Physics, Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi 0179, Georgia*
[4]*Advanced Meson Science Laborary, Nishina Center, RIKEN, Wako 351-0198, Japan*

At the filling factor ν=2, the bilayer quantum Hall system has three phases, the spin triplet phase, the spin singlet phase and the canted antiferromagnet (CAF) phase, depending on the relative strength between the Zeeman energy and interlayer tunneling energy. We present a systematic method to derive the effective Hamiltonian for the Goldstone modes in these three phases. We then investigate the dispersion relations and the coherence lengths of the Goldstone modes. To explore a possible emergence of the interlayer phase coherence, we analyze the dispersion relations in the tunneling energy zero limit. We find one gapless mode with the linear dispersion relation in the CAF phase.

PACS numbers: 73.43.-f, 11.30.Qc ,73.43.Qt, 64.70.Tg

I. INTRODUCTION

In the bilayer quantum Hall (QH) system, a rich physics emerges by the interplay between the spin and the layer (pseudospin) degrees of freedom[1, 2]. For instance, at the filling factor $\nu = 1$, there arises uniquely the spin-ferromagnet and pseudospin-ferromagnet phase, showing various intralayer and interlayer coherent phenomena. On the other hand, the phases arising at $\nu = 2$ are quite nontrivial. According to the one-body picture we expect to have two phases depending on the relative strength between the Zeeman gap Δ_{Z} and the tunneling gap Δ_{SAS}. One is the spin-ferromagnet and pseudospin-singlet phase (abridged as the spin phase) for $\Delta_{\text{Z}} > \Delta_{\text{SAS}}$; the other is the spin-singlet and pseudospin ferromagnet phase (abridged as the ppin phase) for $\Delta_{\text{SAS}} > \Delta_{\text{Z}}$. Instead, an intermediate phase, a canted antiferromagnetic phase (abridged as the CAF phase) emerges. This is a novel phase where the spin direction is canted and make antiferromagnetic correlations between the two layers[3, 4]. Das Sarma *et al.* obtained the phase diagram in the $\Delta_{\text{SAS}} - d$ plane based on time-dependent Hartree-Fock analysis, where d is the layer separation[3, 4]. Later on, an effective spin theory, a Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov approximation and an exact diagonalization study were employed to improve the phase diagram[5–8]. Effects of the density imbalance on the CAF were also discussed[9, 10].

The first experimental indication of the CAF phase was given by inelastic light scattering spectroscopy[11]. They also have observed softening signals indicating second-order phase transitions[12]. Subsequently, an unambiguous evidence of the CAF phase was obtained through capacitance spectroscopy as well as magnetotransport measurements[13–18].

The ground state structure of the $\nu = 2$ bilayer QH system has been investigated based on the SU(4) formalism[20–25]. The expectation values of the SU(4) isospin operators are the order parameters, in terms of which an anisotropic SU(4) nonlinear sigma model has been derived to describe low-energy coherent phenomena[20]. However, the effective Hamiltonian for the Goldstone modes has not been derived. Though there are some results with the use of Grassmannian fields in the spin and ppin phases, no attempts have been made in the CAF phase. On the other hand, experimentally, a role of a Goldstone mode has been suggested by nuclear magnetic resonance[19] in the CAF phase.

In this paper we develop a generic formalism to determine the symmetry breaking pattern and to derive the effective Hamiltonian for the Goldstone modes in the three phases of the $\nu = 2$ bilayer QH system. The symmetry breaking pattern reads

$$\text{SU}(4) \to \text{U}(1) \otimes \text{SU}(2) \otimes \text{SU}(2), \qquad (1.1)$$

and there appear eight Goldstone modes in each phase. The corresponding Goldstone modes in the two phases match smoothly at the phase boundary. All the modes are actually gapped except along the phase boundaries due to explicit symmetry breaking terms. It is important if gapless modes emerge in the limit $\Delta_{\text{Z}} \to 0$ or $\Delta_{\text{SAS}} \to 0$, where the spin coherence or the interlayer coherence is enhanced. Gapless modes are genuine Goldstone modes associated with spontaneous symmetry breaking. Naturally we have gapless modes in the spin phase as $\Delta_{\text{Z}} \to 0$ and in the ppin phase as $\Delta_{\text{SAS}} \to 0$. It is intriguing that we find one gapless mode with the linear dispersion relation in the CAF phase as $\Delta_{\text{SAS}} \to 0$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we review the Coulomb interaction of the bilayer QH system projected to the lowest Landau level (LLL) and the SU(4) effective Hamiltonian after making the derivative expansion. We also review the ground state structure in the three phases. In Sec. III, which is the main part of this paper, we develop a unified formalism to derive the effective Hamiltonian for the Goldstone modes. Then we discuss the SU(4) symmetry breaking pattern and the Goldstone mode spectrum, such as the dispersion relations and the coherence length in each phase. In particular, for the investigation of the CAF phase, we find it useful to introduce two convenient coordinates of SU(4) group space, the s-coordinate and the p-coordinate. We study the dispersions and the coherence length in the limit $\Delta_{\text{SAS}} \to 0$, to explore a possible emergence of the interlayer phase coherence in the CAF phase. Remarkably, we find one coherent mode whose coherence length diverges. Section IV is devoted to discussion.

II. THE SU(4) EFFECTIVE HAMILTONIAN AND THE GROUND STATE STRUCTURE

Electrons in a plane perform cyclotron motion under perpendicular magnetic field $B_\perp$ and create Landau levels. The number of flux quanta passing through the system is $N_\Phi \equiv B_\perp S/\Phi_{\text{D}}$, where S is the area of the system and $\Phi_{\text{D}} = 2\pi\hbar/e$ is the flux quantum. There are N_Φ Landau sites per one Landau level, each of which is associated with one flux quantum and occupies an area $S/N_\Phi = 2\pi\ell_B^2$, with the magnetic length $\ell_B = \sqrt{\hbar/eB_\perp}$.

In the bilayer system an electron has two types of indices, the spin index $(\uparrow,\downarrow)$ and the layer index (f,b). They can be incorporated in 4 types of isospin index $\alpha = $ f↑,f↓,b↑,b↓. One Landau site may contain four electrons. The filling factor is $\nu = N/N_\Phi$ with N the total number of electrons.

We explore the physics of electrons confined to the lowest Landau level, where the electron position is specified solely by the guiding center $\boldsymbol{X} = (X,Y)$, whose X and Y components are noncommutative,

$$[X,Y] = -i\ell_B^2. \tag{2.1}$$

By introducing the ladder operators,

$$b = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\ell_B}(X - iY), \qquad b^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\ell_B}(X + iY), \tag{2.2}$$

obeying $[b,b^\dagger] = 1$, we construct the Fock states,

$$|n\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}}(b^\dagger)^n|0\rangle, \quad n = 0,1,2,\cdots, \quad b|0\rangle = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

These states are the Landau sites in the symmetric gauge.

We expand the electron field operator by a complete set of one-body wave functions $\varphi_n(\boldsymbol{x}) = \langle \boldsymbol{x}|n\rangle$ in the LLL,

$$\psi_\alpha(\boldsymbol{x}) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{N_\Phi} c_\alpha(n)\varphi_n(\boldsymbol{x}), \tag{2.4}$$

where $c_\alpha(n)$ is the annihilation operator at the Landau site $|n\rangle$ with $\alpha = $ f↑,f↓,b↑,b↓. The operators $c_\alpha(m), c_\beta^\dagger(n)$ satisfy the standard anticommutation relations,

$$\begin{aligned} \{c_\alpha(m), c_\beta^\dagger(n)\} &= \delta_{mn}\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \\ \{c_\alpha(m), c_\beta(n)\} &= \{c_\alpha^\dagger(m), c_\beta^\dagger(n)\} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

The electron field $\psi_\alpha(\boldsymbol{x})$ has four components, and the bilayer system possesses the underlying algebra SU(4) with having the subalgebra $\text{SU}_{\text{spin}}(2) \times \text{SU}_{\text{ppin}}(2)$. We denote the three generators of the $\text{SU}_{\text{spin}}(2)$ by τ_a^{spin}, and those of $\text{SU}_{\text{ppin}}(2)$ by τ_a^{ppin}. There are remaining nine generators $\tau_a^{\text{spin}}\tau_b^{\text{ppin}}$. Their explicit form is given in Apendix A.

All the physical operators required for the description of the system are constructed as the bilinear combinations of $\psi(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $\psi^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x})$. They are 16 density operators

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\boldsymbol{x}) &= \psi^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x})\psi(\boldsymbol{x}), \\ S_a(\boldsymbol{x}) &= \frac{1}{2}\psi^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x})\tau_a^{\text{spin}}\psi(\boldsymbol{x}), \\ P_a(\boldsymbol{x}) &= \frac{1}{2}\psi^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x})\tau_a^{\text{ppin}}\psi(\boldsymbol{x}), \\ R_{ab}(\boldsymbol{x}) &= \frac{1}{2}\psi^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x})\tau_a^{\text{spin}}\tau_b^{\text{ppin}}\psi(\boldsymbol{x}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where S_a describes the total spin, $2P_z$ measures the electron-density difference between the two layers. The operator R_{ab} transforms as a spin under $\text{SU}_{\text{spin}}(2)$ and as a pseudospin under $\text{SU}_{\text{ppin}}(2)$.

The kinetic Hamiltonian is quenched, since the kinetic energy is common to all states in the LLL. The Coulomb interaction is decomposed into the SU(4)-invariant and SU(4)-noninvariant terms

$$H_{\text{C}}^+ = \frac{1}{2}\int d^2x d^2y V^+(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y})\rho(\boldsymbol{x})\rho(\boldsymbol{y}), \tag{2.7}$$

$$H_{\text{C}}^- = 2\int d^2x d^2y V^-(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y})P_z(\boldsymbol{x})P_z(\boldsymbol{y}), \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$V^\pm(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{e^2}{8\pi\epsilon}\left(\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}|} \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{x}|^2 + d^2}}\right), \tag{2.9}$$

with the layer separation d. The tunneling and bias terms are summarized into the pseudo-Zeeman term. Combining the Zeeman and pseudo-Zeeman terms we have

$$H_{\text{ZpZ}} = -\int d^2x(\Delta_{\text{Z}}S_z + \Delta_{\text{SAS}}P_x + \Delta_{\text{bias}}P_z), \tag{2.10}$$

with the Zeeman gap Δ_{Z}, the tunneling gap Δ_{SAS}, and the bias voltage $\Delta_{\text{bias}} = eV_{\text{bias}}$.

The total Hamiltonian is

$$H = H_{\text{C}}^+ + H_{\text{C}}^- + H_{\text{ZpZ}}. \tag{2.11}$$

We investigate the regime where the SU(4) invariant Coulomb term H_C^+ dominates all other interactions. Note that the SU(4)-noninvariant terms vanish in the limit $d, \Delta_{\text{Z}}, \Delta_{\text{SAS}}, \Delta_{\text{bias}} \to 0$.

We project the density operators (2.6) to the LLL by substituting the field operator (2.4) into them. A typical density operator reads

$$R_{ab}(\boldsymbol{p}) = e^{-\ell_B^2\boldsymbol{p}^2/4}\hat{R}_{ab}(\boldsymbol{p}), \tag{2.12}$$

in the momentum space, with

$$\hat{R}_{ab}(\boldsymbol{p}) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\sum_{mn}\langle n|e^{-i\boldsymbol{p}\boldsymbol{X}}|m\rangle c^{\dagger}(n)\tau_a^{\text{spin}}\tau_b^{\text{ppin}}c(m), \tag{2.13}$$

where $c(m)$ is the 4-component vector made of the operators $c_\alpha(m)$.

What are observed experimentally are the classical densities, which are expectation values such as $\hat{\rho}^{\text{cl}}(\boldsymbol{p}) = \langle S|\hat{\rho}(\boldsymbol{p})|S\rangle$, where $|S\rangle$ represents a generic state in the LLL. The Coulomb Hamiltonian governing the classical densities are given by[23]

$$\begin{aligned}
H^{\text{eff}} &= \pi\int d^2pV_D^{+}(\boldsymbol{p})\hat{\rho}^{\text{cl}}(-\boldsymbol{p})\hat{\rho}^{\text{cl}}(\boldsymbol{p}) \\
&+ 4\pi\int d^2pV_D^{-}(\boldsymbol{p})\hat{P}_z^{\text{cl}}(-\boldsymbol{p})\hat{P}_z^{\text{cl}}(\boldsymbol{p}) \\
&- \frac{\pi}{2}\int d^2pV_X^{d}(\boldsymbol{p})[\hat{S}_a^{\text{cl}}(-\boldsymbol{p})\hat{S}_a^{\text{cl}}(\boldsymbol{p}) + \hat{P}_a^{\text{cl}}(-\boldsymbol{p})\hat{P}_a^{\text{cl}}(\boldsymbol{p}) \\
&+ \hat{R}_{ab}^{\text{cl}}(-\boldsymbol{p})\hat{R}_{ab}^{\text{cl}}(\boldsymbol{p})] - \pi\int d^2pV_X^{-}(\boldsymbol{p})[\hat{S}_a^{\text{cl}}(-\boldsymbol{p})\hat{S}_a^{\text{cl}}(\boldsymbol{p}) \\
&+ \hat{P}_z^{\text{cl}}(-\boldsymbol{p})\hat{P}_z^{\text{cl}}(\boldsymbol{p}) + \hat{R}_{az}^{\text{cl}}(-\boldsymbol{p})\hat{R}_{az}^{\text{cl}}(\boldsymbol{p})] \\
&- \frac{\pi}{8}\int d^2pV_X(\boldsymbol{p})\hat{\rho}^{\text{cl}}(-\boldsymbol{p})\hat{\rho}^{\text{cl}}(\boldsymbol{p}),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

where V_D and V_X are the direct and exchange Coulomb potentials, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}
V_D(\boldsymbol{p}) &= \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon|\boldsymbol{p}|}e^{-\ell_B^2\boldsymbol{p}^2/2}, \\
V_X(\boldsymbol{p}) &= \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}e^2\ell_B}{4\pi\epsilon}I_0(\ell_B^2\boldsymbol{p}^2/4)e^{-\ell_B^2\boldsymbol{p}^2/4},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
V_D^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{p}) &= \frac{e^2}{8\pi\epsilon|\boldsymbol{p}|}\left(1 \pm e^{-|\boldsymbol{p}|d}\right)e^{-\ell_B^2\boldsymbol{p}^2/2}, \\
V_X^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{p}) &= \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}e^2\ell_B}{8\pi\epsilon}I_0(\ell_B^2\boldsymbol{p}^2/4)e^{-\ell_B^2\boldsymbol{p}^2/4} \\
&\pm \frac{e^2\ell_B^2}{4\pi\epsilon}\int_0^\infty dk e^{-\frac{1}{2}\ell_B^2k^2-kd}J_0(\ell_B^2|\boldsymbol{p}|k),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

with $I_0(x)$ the modified Bessel function, and $J_0(x)$ the Bessel function of the first kind.

Since the exchange interaction $V^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{p})$ is short ranged, it is a good approximation to make the derivative expansion, or equivalently, the momentum expansion. We may set $\hat{\rho}^{\text{cl}}(\boldsymbol{p}) = \rho_0$, $\hat{S}_a^{\text{cl}}(\boldsymbol{p}) = \rho_\Phi\mathcal{S}_a(\boldsymbol{p})$, $\hat{P}_a^{\text{cl}}(\boldsymbol{p}) = \rho_\Phi\mathcal{P}_a(\boldsymbol{p})$, and $\hat{R}_{ab}^{\text{cl}}(\boldsymbol{p}) = \rho_\Phi\mathcal{R}_{ab}(\boldsymbol{p})$ for the study of Goldstone modes. Taking the nontrivial lowest order terms in the derivative expansion, we obtain the SU(4) effective Hamiltonian density

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}^{\text{eff}} &= J_s^d\left(\sum(\partial_k\mathcal{S}_a)^2 + (\partial_k\mathcal{P}_a)^2 + (\partial_k\mathcal{R}_{ab})^2\right) \\
&+ 2J_s^-\left(\sum(\partial_k\mathcal{S}_a)^2 + (\partial_k\mathcal{P}_z)^2 + (\partial_k\mathcal{R}_{az})^2\right) \\
&+ \rho_\phi[\epsilon_{\text{cap}}(\mathcal{P}_z)^2 - 2\epsilon_X^-\left(\sum(\mathcal{S}_a)^2 + (\mathcal{R}_{az})^2\right) \\
&- (\epsilon_X^+ - \epsilon_X^-)(\sum\left(\mathcal{S}_a\right)^2 + (\mathcal{P}_a)^2 + (\mathcal{R}_{ab})^2) \\
&- (\Delta_{\text{Z}}\mathcal{S}_z + \Delta_{\text{SAS}}\mathcal{P}_x + \Delta_{\text{bias}}\mathcal{P}_z) - (\epsilon_X^+ - \epsilon_X^-)],
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

where $\rho_\Phi = \rho_0/\nu$ is the density of states, and

$$\begin{aligned}
J_s &= \frac{1}{16\sqrt{2\pi}}E_{\text{C}}^0, \\
J_s^d &= J_s\left[-\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\frac{d}{\ell_B} + \left(1 + \frac{d^2}{\ell_B^2}e^{d^2/2\ell_B^2}\text{erfc}\left(d/\sqrt{2}\ell_B\right)\right)\right], \\
J_s^{\pm} &= \frac{1}{2}(J_s \pm J_s^d), \\
\epsilon_X &= \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}E_{\text{C}}^0, \quad \epsilon_X^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}\left[1 \pm e^{d^2/2\ell_B^2}\text{erfc}\left(d/\sqrt{2}\ell_B\right)\right], \\
\epsilon_D^- &= \frac{d}{4\ell_B}E_{\text{C}}^0, \quad \epsilon_{\text{cap}} = 4\epsilon_D^- - 2\epsilon_X^-,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

with

$$E_{\text{C}}^0 = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon\ell_B}. \tag{2.19}$$

This Hamiltonian is valid at $\nu = 1$ and 2.

It is to be remarked that all potential terms vanish in the SU(4) invariant limit, where perturbative excitations are gapless. They are the Goldstone modes associated with spontaneous breaking of the SU(4) symmetry. There are eight Goldstone modes, as we shall show in Section III. They get gapped in the actual system, since the SU(4) symmetry is explicitly broken. Nevertheless we call them the Goldstone modes.

The ground state is obtained by minimizing the effective Hamiltonian (2.17) for homogeneous configurations of the classical densities. The order parameters are the classical densities for the ground state. It has been shown[20] at $\nu = 2$ that they are given in terms of two parameters α and β as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_z^0 &= \frac{\Delta_{\text{Z}}}{\Delta_0}(1 - \alpha^2)\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}, \\
\mathcal{P}_x^0 &= \frac{\Delta_{\text{SAS}}}{\Delta_0}\alpha^2\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}, \quad \mathcal{P}_z^0 = \frac{\Delta_{\text{SAS}}}{\Delta_0}\alpha^2\beta, \\
\mathcal{R}_{xx}^0 &= -\frac{\Delta_{\text{SAS}}}{\Delta_0}\alpha\sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}\beta, \\
\mathcal{R}_{yy}^0 &= -\frac{\Delta_{\text{Z}}}{\Delta_0}\alpha\sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}, \\
\mathcal{R}_{xz}^0 &= \frac{\Delta_{\text{SAS}}}{\Delta_0}\alpha\sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}\sqrt{1 - \beta^2},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

with all others being zero. The parameters α and β, satisfying $|\alpha| \leq 1$ and $|\beta| \leq 1$, are determined by the variational equations as

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^2 = \frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}^2}{1-\beta^2} - \frac{4\epsilon_X^- \left(\Delta_0^2 - \beta^2\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}^2\right)}{\Delta_0\sqrt{1-\beta^2}}, \tag{2.21}$$

$$\frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{bias}}}{\beta\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}} = \frac{4\left(\epsilon_X^- + 2\alpha^2(\epsilon_{\mathrm{D}}^- - \epsilon_X^-)\right)}{\Delta_0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\beta^2}}, \tag{2.22}$$

where

$$\Delta_0 = \sqrt{\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}^2\alpha^2 + \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^2(1-\alpha^2)(1-\beta^2)}. \tag{2.23}$$

As a physical variable it is more convenient to use the imbalance parameter defined by

$$\sigma_0 \equiv \mathcal{P}_z^0 = \frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}}{\Delta_0}\alpha^2\beta, \tag{2.24}$$

instead of the bias voltage Δ_{bias}. This is possible in the ppin and CAF phases. The bilayer system is balanced at $\sigma_0 = 0$, while all electrons are in the front layer at $\sigma_0 = 1$, and in the back layer at $\sigma_0 = -1$.

There are three phases in the bilayer QH system at $\nu = 2$. We discuss them in terms of α and β.

First, when $\alpha = 0$, it follows that $\mathcal{S}_z^0 = 1$, $\mathcal{P}_a^0 = \mathcal{R}_{ab}^0 = 0$, since $\Delta_0 = \Delta_Z\sqrt{1-\beta^2}$. Note that β disappears from all formulas in (2.20). This is the spin phase, which is characterized by the fact that the isospin is fully polarized into the spin direction with

$$\mathcal{S}_z^0 = 1, \tag{2.25}$$

and all others being zero. The spins in both layers point to the positive z axis due to the Zeeman effect.

Second, when $\alpha = 1$, it follows that $\mathcal{S}_z^0 = 0$ and $(\mathcal{P}_x^0)^2 + (\mathcal{P}_z^0)^2 = 1$. This is the ppin phase, which is characterized by the fact that the isospin is fully polarized into the pseudospin direction with

$$\mathcal{P}_x^0 = \sqrt{1-\beta^2}, \qquad \mathcal{P}_z^0 = \beta = \sigma_0, \tag{2.26}$$

and all the others being zero.

For intermediate values of α $(0 < \alpha < 1)$, not only the spin and pseudospin but also some components of the residual spin are nonvanishing, where we may control the density imbalance by applying a bias voltage as in the ppin phase. It follows from (2.20) that, as the system goes away from the spin phase ($\alpha = 0$), the spins begin to cant coherently and make antiferromagnetic correlations between the two layers. Hence it is called the canted antiferromagnetic phase.

The interlayer phase coherence is an intriguing phenomenon in the bilayer QH system[1]. Since it is enhanced in the limit $\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}} \to 0$, it is worthwhile to investigate the effective Hamiltonian in this limit. We need to know how the parameters α and β are expressed in terms of the physical variables. Form (2.21) it is trivial to see that $(1-\beta^2)/\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}^2 = O(1)$. Up to the order $O(\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}^2)$, (2.22) is reduced to

$$\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^2 - \frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}^2}{1-\beta^2}\right)\left(1 + \frac{4\epsilon_X^-(1-\alpha^2)}{\sqrt{\Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^2(1-\alpha^2) + \frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}^2\alpha^2}{1-\beta^2}}}\right) = 0. \tag{2.27}$$

The solutions are

$$\beta = \pm\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}}{\Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}}\right)^2} + O(\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}^4), \tag{2.28}$$

with

$$\Delta_0 \to \Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}} + O(\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}^3), \tag{2.29}$$

for (2.23). By using (2.24) we have

$$\mathcal{P}_z^0 = \sigma_0 = \pm\alpha^2\sqrt{1 - \frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}^2}{\Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^2}} + O(\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}^4). \tag{2.30}$$

The parameters α and β are simple functions of the physical variables $\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}/\Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}$ and σ_0 in the limit $\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}} \to 0$.

In particular, one of the layers becomes empty in the ppin phase and also near the ppin-phase boundary in the CAF phase, since we have $\sigma_0 \to \pm 1$ as $\alpha \to 1$. On the other hand, the bilayer system becomes balanced, since we have $\sigma_0 \to 0$ as $\alpha \to 0$ in the spin phase and also near the spin-phase boundary in the CAF phase. We might expect novel phenomena associated with the interlayer phase coherence in the CAF phase.

III. EFFECTIVE HAMILTONIAN FOR GOLDSTONE MODES

Having reviewed the three phases in the bilayer system at $\nu = 2$, we proceed to discuss the symmetry breaking pattern and construct the effective Hamiltonian for the Goldstone modes in each phase. There is a systematic method for this purpose, which was developed in particle and nuclear physics[26, 27].

We analyze excitations around the classical ground state (2.20). It is convenient to introduce the SU(4) isospin notation such that

$$\mathcal{I}_{a0}^{(0)} = \mathcal{S}_a^0, \qquad \mathcal{I}_{0a}^{(0)} = \mathcal{P}_a^0, \qquad \mathcal{I}_{ab}^{(0)} = \mathcal{R}_{ab}^0. \tag{3.1}$$

We set all of them into one 15-dimensional vector $\mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}$ with the index $\mu\nu$: Note that there is no component $\mathcal{I}_{00}^{(0)}$. Most general excitations are described by the operator

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu}(x) = \mathcal{I}(x)\left[\exp\left(i\sum_{\gamma\delta}\pi_{\gamma\delta}T_{\gamma\delta}\right)\right]_{\mu\nu}^{\mu'\nu'}\mathcal{I}_{\mu'\nu'}^0, \tag{3.2}$$

where $T_{\gamma\delta}$ are the matrices of the broken SU(4) generators in the adjoint representation of SU(4), each of which is a 15×15 matrix. The greek indices run over $0, x, y, z$. The phase field $\pi_{\gamma\delta}(x)$ are the Goldstone modes associated with the broken generators, and the coefficient $\mathcal{I}(x)$ is the amplitude function corresponding to the "sigma" field in the linear sigma model.

It has been argued[20] that there are nine independent real physical fields. They are the amplitude fluctuation field $\mathcal{I}(x)$ satisfying $\mathcal{I}^2(x) \le 1$, and eight Goldstone modes $\pi_{\gamma\delta}(x)$. Hence, only eight generating matrices $T_{\gamma\delta}$ are involved in the formula (3.2). We shall explicitly determine them in each phase in the following subsections. Since we are only interested in an effective low energy theory of the Goldstone bosons, we set $\mathcal{I}(x) = 1$. Then we may identify

$$\mathcal{S}_a = \mathcal{I}_{a0}, \qquad \mathcal{P}_a = \mathcal{I}_{0a}, \qquad \mathcal{R}_{ab} = \mathcal{I}_{ab}, \tag{3.3}$$

and express various physical variables in terms of the Goldstone modes $\pi_{\gamma\delta}(x)$.

We expand the formula (3.2) in $\pi_{\gamma\delta}$,

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu}(x) = \mathcal{I}^0_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{I}^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}(x) + \mathcal{I}^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}(x) + \cdots, \tag{3.4}$$

where $\mathcal{I}^{(n)}_{\mu\nu}(x)$ is the nth order term in the Goldstone mode $\pi_{\gamma\delta}$. Up to the second order, they are

$$\mathcal{I}^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}(x) = -f_{\mu\nu,\gamma\delta,\mu'\nu'}\pi_{\gamma\delta}\mathcal{I}^0_{\mu'\nu'}, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\mathcal{I}^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{1}{2!} f_{\mu\nu,\gamma\delta,\mu''\nu''} f_{\mu''\nu'',\gamma'\delta',\mu\nu}\pi_{\gamma\delta}\pi_{\gamma'\delta'}\mathcal{I}^0_{\mu\nu}, \tag{3.6}$$

where $f_{\mu\nu,\gamma\delta,\mu'\nu'}$ are the structure constant of SU(4),

$$(T_{\gamma\delta})^{\mu'\nu'}_{\mu\nu} = i f_{\mu\nu,\gamma\delta,\mu'\nu'}, \tag{3.7}$$

about which we explain in Appendix A (A7).

Each phase is characterized by the order parameter $\mathcal{I}^0_{\mu\nu}$, which are nothing but (2.20). The key observation is that the first order term $\mathcal{I}^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}(x)$ contains all informations about the symmetry breaking pattern and the associated Goldstone modes, yielding their kinematic terms. On the other hand, the second order term $\mathcal{I}^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}(x)$ provides them with gaps.

A. Spin Phase

First we analyze the spin phase. Setting $\alpha = 0$ in the order parameters (2.20), we obtain

$$\mathcal{I}^0_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu z}\delta_{\nu 0}. \tag{3.8}$$

With the use of this, it is straighforward to calculate the first order term $\mathcal{I}^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}(x)$ in (3.5),

$$\mathcal{I}^{(1)}_{x\mu} = -\pi_{y\mu}, \; \mathcal{I}^{(1)}_{y\mu} = \pi_{x\mu}. \tag{3.9}$$

There are eight fields $\pi_{y\mu}$ and $\pi_{x\mu}$ with $\mu = 0, x, y$ and z, which are the Goldstone modes. Since they emerge in eight directions, $x\mu$ and $y\mu$, the broken generators are $T_{x\mu}$ and $T_{y\mu}$. Consequently, the symmetry breaking pattern reads

$$\mathrm{SU}(4) \to \mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathcal{I}_{z0}} \otimes \mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathcal{I}_{0a}} \otimes \mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathcal{I}_{za}}, \tag{3.10}$$

implying that the unbroken generators are T_{z0}, T_{0a} and T_{za}.

We require (3.2) to satisfy the SU(4) algebraic relation

$$[\mathcal{I}_{a\mu}(\boldsymbol{x}, t), \mathcal{I}_{b\mu}(\boldsymbol{y}, t)] = i\epsilon_{abc}\rho_\Phi^{-1}\mathcal{I}_{c0}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)\delta(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}), \tag{3.11}$$

so that the field $\mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu}$ describes the SU(4) isospin. From (3.11), we obtain the equal-time commutation relations for the Goldstone modes,

$$[\tilde{\pi}_{x\mu}(\boldsymbol{x}, t), \tilde{\pi}_{y\mu}(\boldsymbol{y}, t)] = i\delta(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}), \tag{3.12}$$

with $\tilde{\pi}_{\gamma\delta} = \rho_\Phi^{1/2}\pi_{\gamma\delta}$. Equivalently, we may construct a Lagrangian formalism so that (3.12) is the canonical commutation relation.

It follows from (3.3) and (3.9) that the eight Goldstone modes are explicitly given by

$$\mathcal{S}_x = -\pi_{y0}, \quad \mathcal{S}_y = \pi_{x0}, \quad \mathcal{R}_{xa} = -\pi_{ya}, \quad \mathcal{R}_{ya} = \pi_{xa}. \tag{3.13}$$

Substituting them into (2.17), we obtain the effective Hamiltonian of the Goldstone modes in terms of the canonical sets of $\tilde{\pi}_{x\mu}$ and $\tilde{\pi}_{y\mu}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{spin}} = {} & \frac{2J_s}{\rho_0}\sum_{\mu=0,z}\left[(\partial_k\tilde{\pi}_{x\mu})^2 + (\partial_k\tilde{\pi}_{y\mu})^2\right] \\
& + \frac{2J_s^d}{\rho_0}\sum_{a=x,y}\left[(\partial_k\tilde{\pi}_{xa})^2 + (\partial_k\tilde{\pi}_{ya})^2\right] \\
& - 4\epsilon_X^-\mathcal{I}^{(2)}_{z0} - 2\epsilon_X^-\sum_{\mu=0,z}\left[(\tilde{\pi}_{x\mu})^2 + (\tilde{\pi}_{y\mu})^2\right] \\
& - \rho_\phi\left[\Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}\mathcal{I}^{(2)}_{z0} + \Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}\mathcal{I}^{(2)}_{0x} + \Delta_{\mathrm{bias}}\mathcal{I}^{(2)}_{0z}\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

where $\mathcal{I}^{(2)}_{0a}$ are given by (3.6), and read

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}^{(2)}_{z0} &= 1 - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mu=0,x,y,z}(\pi^2_{x\mu} + \pi^2_{y\mu}), \\
\mathcal{I}^{(2)}_{0x} &= \frac{\pi_{xy}\pi_{yz} + \pi_{yz}\pi_{xy} - \pi_{yy}\pi_{xz} - \pi_{xz}\pi_{yy}}{2}, \\
\mathcal{I}^{(2)}_{0z} &= \frac{\pi_{xx}\pi_{yy} + \pi_{yy}\pi_{xx} - \pi_{xy}\pi_{yx} - \pi_{yx}\pi_{xy}}{2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

The annihilation operators are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_1 &= \frac{\tilde{\pi}_{x0} + i\tilde{\pi}_{y0}}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \eta_2 = \frac{\tilde{\pi}_{xx} + i\tilde{\pi}_{yx}}{\sqrt{2}}, \\
\eta_3 &= \frac{\tilde{\pi}_{xy} + i\tilde{\pi}_{yy}}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \eta_4 = \frac{\tilde{\pi}_{xz} + i\tilde{\pi}_{yz}}{\sqrt{2}},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

and satisfy the commutation relations,

$$\left[\eta_i(\boldsymbol{x}, t), \eta_j^\dagger(\boldsymbol{y}, t)\right] = \delta_{ij}\delta(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}), \tag{3.17}$$

with $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

The effective Hamiltonian (3.14) reads in terms of the creation and annihilation variables (3.16) as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}^{\text{spin}} =& \frac{4J_s}{\rho_0}\sum_{a=1,4}\partial_k\eta_a^\dagger\partial_k\eta_a + \frac{4J_s^d}{\rho_0}\sum_{a=2,3}\partial_k\eta_a^\dagger\partial_k\eta_a \\ &+ \Delta_{\text{Z}}\sum_{a=1,4}\eta_a^\dagger\eta_a + [\Delta_{\text{Z}} + 4\epsilon_X^-]\sum_{a=2,3}\eta_a^\dagger\eta_a \\ &- \frac{\Delta_{\text{bias}}}{i}[\eta_2^\dagger\eta_3 - \eta_3^\dagger\eta_2] - \frac{\Delta_{\text{SAS}}}{i}[\eta_3^\dagger\eta_4 - \eta_4^\dagger\eta_3].\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

The variables η_2, η_3 and η_4 are mixing.

In the momentum space the annihilation and creation operators are $\eta_{i,\boldsymbol{k}}$ and $\eta_{i,\boldsymbol{k}}^\dagger$ together with the commutation relations,

$$\left[\eta_{i,\boldsymbol{k}}, \eta_{j,\boldsymbol{k}'}^\dagger\right] = \delta_{ij}\delta(\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{k}'). \tag{3.19}$$

For the sake of the simplicity we consider the balanced configuration with $\Delta_{\text{bias}} = 0$ in the rest of this subsection. Then the Hamiltonian density is given by

$$\begin{aligned}H^{\text{spin}} &= \int d^2k\ \mathcal{H}^{\text{spin}}, \\ \mathcal{H}^{\text{spin}} &= \mathcal{H}_1^{\text{spin}} + \mathcal{H}_2^{\text{spin}} + \mathcal{H}_3^{\text{spin}},\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_1^{\text{spin}} = \left[\frac{4J_s}{\rho_0}\boldsymbol{k}^2 + \Delta_{\text{Z}}\right]\eta_{1,\boldsymbol{k}}^\dagger\eta_{1,\boldsymbol{k}}, \tag{3.21}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_2^{\text{spin}} = \left[\frac{4J_s^d}{\rho_0}\boldsymbol{k}^2 + \Delta_{\text{Z}} + 4\epsilon_X^-\right]\eta_{2,\boldsymbol{k}}^\dagger\eta_{2,\boldsymbol{k}}, \tag{3.22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_3^{\text{spin}} =& \left[\frac{4J_s^d}{\rho_0}\boldsymbol{k}^2 + \Delta_{\text{Z}} + 4\epsilon_X^-\right]\eta_{3,\boldsymbol{k}}^\dagger\eta_{3,\boldsymbol{k}} + \left[\frac{4J_s}{\rho_0}\boldsymbol{k}^2 + \Delta_{\text{Z}}\right] \\ &\times \eta_{4,\boldsymbol{k}}^\dagger\eta_{4,\boldsymbol{k}} - \frac{\Delta_{\text{SAS}}}{i}\left[\eta_{3,\boldsymbol{k}}^\dagger\eta_{4,\boldsymbol{k}} - \eta_{4,\boldsymbol{k}}^\dagger\eta_{3,\boldsymbol{k}}\right].\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

We first analyze the dispersion relation and the coherence length of $\eta_{1,\boldsymbol{k}}$. From (3.21), we have

$$E_{\eta_1}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \frac{4J_s}{\rho_0}\boldsymbol{k}^2 + \Delta_{\text{Z}}, \tag{3.24}$$

$$\xi_{\eta_1} = 2l_B\sqrt{\frac{\pi J_s}{\Delta_{\text{Z}}}}. \tag{3.25}$$

The coherent length diverges in the limit $\Delta_{\text{Z}} \to 0$. This mode is a pure spin wave since it describes the fluctuation of $\mathcal{S}_x$ and $\mathcal{S}_y$ as in (3.13). Indeed, the energy (3.24) as well as the coherent length (3.25) depend only on the Zeeman gap Δ_{Z} and the intralayer stiffness J_s.

We next analyze those of $\eta_{2,\boldsymbol{k}}$,

$$E_{\eta_2}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \frac{4J_s^d}{\rho_0}\boldsymbol{k}^2 + \Delta_{\text{Z}} + 4\epsilon_X^-, \tag{3.26}$$

$$\xi_{\eta_2} = 2l_B\sqrt{\frac{\pi J_s^d}{\Delta_{\text{Z}} + 4\epsilon_X^-}}. \tag{3.27}$$

They depend not only Δ_{Z} but also on the exchange Coulomb energy ϵ_X^- and the interlayer stiffness originating in the interlayer Coulomb interaction.

We finally analyze those of $\eta_{3,\boldsymbol{k}}$ and $\eta_{4,\boldsymbol{k}}$, which are coupled. Hamiltonian (3.23) can be written in the matrix form,

$$\mathcal{H}_3^{\text{spin}} = \begin{pmatrix}\eta_{3,\boldsymbol{k}} \\ \eta_{4,\boldsymbol{k}}\end{pmatrix}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix}A_{\boldsymbol{k}} & -i\Delta_{\text{SAS}} \\ i\Delta_{\text{SAS}} & B_{\boldsymbol{k}}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\eta_{3,\boldsymbol{k}} \\ \eta_{4,\boldsymbol{k}}\end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.28}$$

where

$$A_{\boldsymbol{k}} = \frac{4J_s^d}{\rho_0}\boldsymbol{k}^2 + \Delta_{\text{Z}} + 4\epsilon_X^-, \quad B_{\boldsymbol{k}} = \frac{4J_s}{\rho_0}\boldsymbol{k}^2 + \Delta_{\text{Z}}. \tag{3.29}$$

Hamiltonian (3.28) can be diagonalized as

$$\mathcal{H}_3^{\text{spin}} = \begin{pmatrix}\tilde{\eta}_{3,\boldsymbol{k}} \\ \tilde{\eta}_{4,\boldsymbol{k}}\end{pmatrix}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix}E^{\tilde{\eta}_3} & 0 \\ 0 & E^{\tilde{\eta}_4}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\tilde{\eta}_{3,\boldsymbol{k}} \\ \tilde{\eta}_{4,\boldsymbol{k}}\end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.30}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}E^{\tilde{\eta}_3} &= \frac{1}{2}\left[A_{\boldsymbol{k}} + B_{\boldsymbol{k}} + \sqrt{(A_{\boldsymbol{k}} - B_{\boldsymbol{k}})^2 + 4\Delta_{\text{SAS}}^2}\right], \\ E^{\tilde{\eta}_4} &= \frac{1}{2}\left[A_{\boldsymbol{k}} + B_{\boldsymbol{k}} - \sqrt{(A_{\boldsymbol{k}} - B_{\boldsymbol{k}})^2 + 4\Delta_{\text{SAS}}^2}\right],\end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

and the annihilation operator $\tilde{\eta}_{i,\boldsymbol{k}}$ $(i = 3, 4)$ given by the form

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\eta}_{3,\boldsymbol{k}} &= \frac{-i\left(\sqrt{C_{\boldsymbol{k}}^2 + 4\Delta_{\text{SAS}}^2} + C_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right)\eta_{3,\boldsymbol{k}} - 2\Delta_{\text{SAS}}\eta_{4,\boldsymbol{k}}}{\sqrt{2\left(C_{\boldsymbol{k}}^2 + 4\Delta_{\text{SAS}}^2 + C_{\boldsymbol{k}}\sqrt{C_{\boldsymbol{k}}^2 + 4\Delta_{\text{SAS}}^2}\right)}}, \\ \tilde{\eta}_{4,\boldsymbol{k}} &= \frac{-i\left(\sqrt{C_{\boldsymbol{k}}^2 + 4\Delta_{\text{SAS}}^2} - C_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right)\eta_{3,\boldsymbol{k}} + 2\Delta_{\text{SAS}}\eta_{4,\boldsymbol{k}}}{\sqrt{2\left(C_{\boldsymbol{k}}^2 + 4\Delta_{\text{SAS}}^2 - C_{\boldsymbol{k}}\sqrt{C_{\boldsymbol{k}}^2 + 4\Delta_{\text{SAS}}^2}\right)}},\end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

with $C_{\boldsymbol{k}} = A_{\boldsymbol{k}} - B_{\boldsymbol{k}}$. The annihilation operators (3.32) satisfy the commutation relations

$$\left[\tilde{\eta}_{i,\boldsymbol{k}}, \tilde{\eta}_{j,\boldsymbol{k}'}^\dagger\right] = \delta_{ij}\delta(\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{k}'), \tag{3.33}$$

with $i, j = 3, 4$. We obtain the dispersions for the modes $\tilde{\eta}_{i,\boldsymbol{k}}$ $(i = 3, 4)$ from (3.29) and (3.31).

By taking the limit $\boldsymbol{k} \to 0$ in (3.31), we have two gaps

$$\begin{aligned}E_{\boldsymbol{k}=0}^{\tilde{\eta}_3} &= \Delta_{\text{Z}} + 2\epsilon_X^- + \left[4(\epsilon_X^-)^2 + \Delta_{\text{SAS}}^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ E_{\boldsymbol{k}=0}^{\tilde{\eta}_4} &= \Delta_{\text{Z}} + 2\epsilon_X^- - \left[4(\epsilon_X^-)^2 + \Delta_{\text{SAS}}^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

The gapless condition ($E_{\boldsymbol{k}=0}^{\tilde{\eta}_4} = 0$) implies

$$\Delta_{\text{Z}}(\Delta_{\text{Z}} + 4\epsilon_X^-) - \Delta_{\text{SAS}}^2 = 0, \tag{3.35}$$

which holds only along the boundary of the spin and CAF phases: See (4.17) in Ref.[20]. In the interior of the spin phase we have $\Delta_{\text{Z}}(\Delta_{\text{Z}} + 4\epsilon_X^-) - \Delta_{\text{SAS}}^2 > 0$, as implies that there arise no gapless modes from $\tilde{\eta}_3$ and $\tilde{\eta}_4$. These excitation modes are residual spin waves coupled with the layer degree of freedom.

B. Ppin Phase

We next analyze the ppin phase. Setting $\alpha = 1$ in the order parameters (2.20), we obtain

$$\mathcal{I}^{0}_{\mu\nu} = \sqrt{1-\beta^2}\delta_{\mu 0}\delta_{\nu x} + \beta\delta_{\mu 0}\delta_{\nu z}. \tag{3.36}$$

In order to determine the symmetry breaking pattern, we rotate this vector around the $0y$ axis so that only one component becomes nonzero. We can show that

$$\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{p}(0)}_{\mu\nu} \equiv [V_\beta(\theta_\beta)]^{\mu'\nu'}_{\mu\nu}\, \mathcal{I}^{(0)}_{\mu'\nu'} = \delta_{\mu 0}\delta_{\nu x}, \tag{3.37}$$

by choosing

$$V_\beta(\theta_\beta) = \exp(i\theta_\beta T_{0y}), \tag{3.38}$$

with $\cos\theta_\beta = \sqrt{1-\beta^2}$ and $\sin\theta_\beta = -\beta$.

In the rotated basis the order parameter has a single nonzero component just as (3.8) in the case of the spin phase. Therefore the further analysis goes in parallel with that given in the previous subsection. Namely, there are eight Goldstone fields,

$$\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{p}(1)}_{\mu y} = -\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{\mu z}, \quad \mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{p}(1)}_{\mu z} = \pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{\mu y}, \tag{3.39}$$

and the symmetry breaking pattern reads

$$\mathrm{SU}(4) \to \mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{p}}_{a0}} \otimes \mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{p}}_{0x}} \otimes \mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{p}}_{ax}}, \tag{3.40}$$

precisely as in the spin phase.

Let us relate the variables in the rotated system to the original variables in the formula (3.2). The SU(4) isospin operator after the rotation is given by

$$\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{p}}_{\mu\nu}(x) = [V_\beta(\theta_\beta)]^{\mu'\nu'}_{\mu\nu}\, \mathcal{I}_{\mu'\nu'}(x), \tag{3.41}$$

with the use of (3.38). We substitute (3.2) into this formula to find

$$\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{p}}_{\mu\nu}(x) = \left[\exp\left(i\sum_{\gamma\delta}\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{\gamma\delta}T_{\gamma\delta}\right)\right]^{\mu'\nu'}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{p}(0)}_{\mu'\nu'}, \tag{3.42}$$

with (3.37), where $\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{\gamma\delta}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{\gamma\delta} &= [V_\beta(\theta_\beta)]^{\gamma'\delta'}_{\gamma\delta}\, \pi_{\gamma'\delta'}, &(3.43)\\ \mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{p}(0)}_{\gamma\delta} &= [V_\beta(\theta_\beta)]^{\gamma'\delta'}_{\gamma\delta}\, \mathcal{I}^{0}_{\gamma'\delta'}, &(3.44)\end{aligned}$$

while $\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{p}(0)}_{\gamma\delta}$ has been used by (3.37). Here, we have used the formula of the SU(N) group,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_b T_b\Phi'_b &= \sum_b T_b\left[\exp i\theta_a \mathrm{Ad}(T_a)\right]^c_b \Phi_c\\ &= \exp\left[i\theta_a T_a\right]\Phi_b T_b \exp\left[-i\theta_a T_a\right], \end{aligned}\tag{3.45}$$

where Φ_b is an arbitrary adjoint vector with $a, b, c = 1, \ldots, \dim \mathrm{SU(N)}$, and $\exp\left[i\theta_a T_a\right]$ is the element of SU(N). Here we have N$=4$ and Φ_b corresponds to $\pi_{\mu\nu}$.

The SU(4) isospin density fields $\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{p}}_{\mu\nu}$ satisfy the SU(4) algebraic relations

$$\left[\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{p}}_{\mu a}(\boldsymbol{x},t), \mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{p}}_{\mu b}(\boldsymbol{y},t)\right] = i\epsilon_{abc}\rho_\Phi^{-1}\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{p}}_{0c}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\delta(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}), \tag{3.46}$$

from which we obtain the canonical commutation relations for the Goldstone modes,

$$\left[\tilde{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}_{\mu y}(\boldsymbol{x},t), \tilde{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}_{\mu z}(\boldsymbol{y},t)\right] = i\delta(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}), \tag{3.47}$$

with $\tilde{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}_{\mu\nu} = \rho_\Phi^{1/2}\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{\mu\nu}$.

We go on to derive the effective Hamiltonian governing these Goldstone modes. The first step is to convert the relation (3.41) to express the original fields in terms of those in the rotated system. Explicitly we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_{\mu x} &= c_{\theta_\beta}\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{p}}_{\mu x} + s_{\theta_\beta}\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{p}}_{\mu z},\\ \mathcal{I}_{\mu z} &= -s_{\theta_\beta}\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{p}}_{\mu x} + c_{\theta_\beta}\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{p}}_{\mu z},\\ \mathcal{I}_{a0} &= \mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{p}}_{a0}, \quad \mathcal{I}_{y\mu} = \mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{p}}_{y\mu}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.48}$$

The second step is to expand (3.42) in terms of $\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{\gamma\delta}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{p}}_{\mu y} = -\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{\mu z} + \mathcal{O}(\pi^2),\ \mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{p}}_{\mu z} = \pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{\mu y} + \mathcal{O}(\pi^2),\\ &\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{p}}_{x0} = \frac{\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{zz}\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{yy} + \pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{yy}\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{zz} - \pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{zy}\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{yz} - \pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{yz}\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{zy}}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\pi^3),\\ &\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{p}}_{y0} = \frac{\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{zy}\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{xz} + \pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{xz}\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{zy} - \pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{zz}\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{xy} - \pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{xy}\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{zz}}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\pi^3),\\ &\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{p}}_{z0} = \frac{\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{xy}\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{yz} + \pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{yz}\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{xy} - \pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{yy}\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{xz} - \pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{xz}\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{yy}}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\pi^3),\\ &\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{p}}_{xx} = -\frac{\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{xz}\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{0z} + \pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{0z}\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{xz} + \pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{xy}\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{0y} + \pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{0y}\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{xy}}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\pi^3),\\ &\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{p}}_{yx} = -\frac{\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{yz}\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{0z} + \pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{0z}\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{yz} + \pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{yy}\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{0y} + \pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{0y}\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{yy}}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\pi^3),\\ &\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{p}}_{zx} = -\frac{\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{zz}\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{0z} + \pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{0z}\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{zz} + \pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{zy}\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{0y} + \pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{0y}\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{zy}}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\pi^3),\\ &\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{p}}_{0x} = 1 - \sum_{\mu=0,x,y,z}\frac{(\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{\mu y})^2 + (\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{\mu z})^2}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\pi^3).\end{aligned} \tag{3.49}$$

Now, using (3.3) we obtain the expression of $\mathcal{S}_a, \mathcal{P}_a, \mathcal{R}_{ab}$ in terms of $\pi^{\mathrm{p}}_{\gamma\delta}$, which we substitute into the effective Hamiltonian (2.17).

In this way we derive the effective Hamiltonian of the Goldstone modes in terms of the canonical sets of $\tilde{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}_{\mu y}$ and $\tilde{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}_{\mu z}$. In the momentum space it reads

$$\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{p}} = \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{p}}_1 + \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{p}}_2 + \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{p}}_3, \tag{3.50}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{p}}_1 = C^{\mathrm{p}}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\tilde{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}\dagger}_{0y,\boldsymbol{k}}\tilde{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}_{0y,\boldsymbol{k}} + B^{\mathrm{p}}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\tilde{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}\dagger}_{0z,\boldsymbol{k}}\tilde{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}_{0z,\boldsymbol{k}}, \tag{3.51}$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{p}}_2 = A^{\mathrm{p}}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\tilde{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}\dagger}_{zy,\boldsymbol{k}}\tilde{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}_{zy,\boldsymbol{k}} + B^{\mathrm{p}}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\tilde{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}\dagger}_{zz,\boldsymbol{k}}\tilde{\pi}^{\mathrm{p}}_{zz,\boldsymbol{k}}, \tag{3.52}$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{p}}_3 = (\vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{\mathrm{p}})^\dagger\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{p}}\vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{\mathrm{p}}, \tag{3.53}$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{p}} &= \frac{2J_1^{\beta}}{\rho_0}k^2 + \frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}}{2\sqrt{1-\beta^2}} - 2\epsilon_X^{-}(1-\beta^2),\\
B_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{p}} &= \frac{2J_s^{d}}{\rho_0}k^2 + \frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}}{2\sqrt{1-\beta^2}},\\
C_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{p}} &= \frac{2J_1^{\beta}}{\rho_0}k^2 + \frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}}{2\sqrt{1-\beta^2}} + \epsilon_{\mathrm{cap}}(1-\beta^2),\\
J_1^{\beta} &= (1-\beta^2)J_s + \beta^2 J_s^d,\\
\vec{\tilde{\pi}}^{\mathrm{p}} &= (\tilde{\pi}_{yy,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{p}}, \tilde{\pi}_{xz,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{p}}, \tilde{\pi}_{xy,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{p}}, \tilde{\pi}_{yz,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{p}}),\\
\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{p}} &= \begin{pmatrix} A_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{p}} & \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}/2 & 0 & 0\\ \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}/2 & B_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{p}} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & A_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{p}} & -\Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}/2\\ 0 & 0 & -\Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}/2 & B_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{p}} \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.54}
$$

The canonical commutation relations are

$$
\left[\tilde{\pi}_{\mu y,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{p}}, \tilde{\pi}_{\mu z,\boldsymbol{k}'}^{\mathrm{p}}\right] = i\delta(\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{k}'),
\tag{3.55}
$$

for each $\mu = 0, x, y, z$.

We first analyze the dispersions and the coherence lengths of the canonical sets of the modes $\tilde{\pi}_{0y}^{\mathrm{p}}$ and $\tilde{\pi}_{0z}^{\mathrm{p}}$ from (3.51). Since the ground state is a squeezed coherent state due to the capacitance energy ϵ_{cap}, it is more convenient[1] to use the dispersion and the coherence lengths of $\tilde{\pi}_{0y}^{\mathrm{p}}$ and $\tilde{\pi}_{0z}^{\mathrm{p}}$ separately. The dispersion relations are given by

$$
E_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\tilde{\pi}_{0y}^{\mathrm{p}}} = \frac{2J_1^{\beta}}{\rho_0}\boldsymbol{k}^2 + \frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}}{2\sqrt{1-\beta^2}} + \epsilon_{\mathrm{cap}}(1-\beta^2),
\tag{3.56}
$$

$$
E_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\tilde{\pi}_{0z}^{\mathrm{p}}} = \frac{2J_s^{d}}{\rho_0}\boldsymbol{k}^2 + \frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}}{2\sqrt{1-\beta^2}},
\tag{3.57}
$$

and their coherence lengths are

$$
\xi^{\tilde{\pi}_{0y}^{\mathrm{p}}} = 2l_B\sqrt{\frac{\pi J_1^{\beta}}{\frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}}{\sqrt{1-\beta^2}} + 2\epsilon_{\mathrm{cap}}(1-\beta^2)}},
\tag{3.58}
$$

$$
\xi^{\tilde{\pi}_{0z}^{\mathrm{p}}} = 2l_B\sqrt{\frac{\pi J_s^{d}\sqrt{1-\beta^2}}{\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}}}.
\tag{3.59}
$$

They describe a ppin wave.

The similar analysis can be adopted for the canonical sets of $\tilde{\pi}_{zy}^{\mathrm{p}}$ and $\tilde{\pi}_{zz}^{\mathrm{p}}$ in (3.52). The dispersion relations are given by

$$
E_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\tilde{\pi}_{zy}^{\mathrm{p}}} = \frac{2J_1^{\beta}}{\rho_0}\boldsymbol{k}^2 + \frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}}{2\sqrt{1-\beta^2}} - 2\epsilon_X^{-}(1-\beta^2),
\tag{3.60}
$$

$$
E_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\tilde{\pi}_{zz}^{\mathrm{p}}} = \frac{2J_s^{d}}{\rho_0}\boldsymbol{k}^2 + \frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}}{2\sqrt{1-\beta^2}}.
\tag{3.61}
$$

Their coherence lengths are

$$
\xi^{\tilde{\pi}_{zy}^{\mathrm{p}}} = 2l_B\sqrt{\frac{\pi J_1^{\beta}}{\frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}}{\sqrt{1-\beta^2}} - 4\epsilon_X^{-}(1-\beta^2)}},
\tag{3.62}
$$

$$
\xi^{\tilde{\pi}_{zz}^{\mathrm{p}}} = 2l_B\sqrt{\frac{\pi J_s^{d}\sqrt{1-\beta^2}}{\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}}}.
\tag{3.63}
$$

It appears that $\xi^{\tilde{\pi}_{zy}^{\mathrm{p}}}$ is ill-defined for $\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}} \to 0$ in (3.60). This is not the case due to the relation (3.65) in the ppin phase, which we mention soon.

Finally, making an analysis of the Hamiltonian (3.53) as in the case of the spin phase, we obtain the condition for the existence of a gapless mode,

$$
\frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}}{\sqrt{1-\beta^2}}\left[\frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}}{\sqrt{1-\beta^2}} - 4\epsilon_X^{-}(1-\beta^2)\right] - \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^2 = 0.
\tag{3.64}
$$

It occurs along the ppin-canted boundary: See (5.3) and (5.4) in Ref.[20]. Inside the ppin phase, since we have

$$
\frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}}{\sqrt{1-\beta^2}}\left[\frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}}{\sqrt{1-\beta^2}} - 4\epsilon_X^{-}(1-\beta^2)\right] - \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^2 > 0,
\tag{3.65}
$$

there are no gapless modes.

C. CAF phase

Finally we analyze the CAF phase. This phase is characterized by the order parameters (2.20), which we may rewrite as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} = {}& c_{\theta_\delta}c_{\theta_\alpha}\delta_{\mu z}\delta_{\nu 0} + s_{\theta_\delta}s_{\theta_\alpha}\left(c_{\theta_\beta}\delta_{\mu 0}\delta_{\nu x} - s_{\theta_\beta}\delta_{\mu 0}\delta_{\nu z}\right)\\
&+ s_{\theta_\delta}c_{\theta_\alpha}s_{\theta_\beta}\delta_{\mu x}\delta_{\nu x} - c_{\theta_\delta}s_{\theta_\alpha}\delta_{\mu y}\delta_{\nu y} + s_{\theta_\delta}c_{\theta_\alpha}c_{\theta_\beta}\delta_{\mu x}\delta_{\nu z},
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.66}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
&c_{\theta_\alpha} \equiv \cos\theta_\alpha = \sqrt{1-\alpha^2}, \quad s_{\theta_\alpha} \equiv \sin\theta_\alpha = \alpha,\\
&c_{\theta_\beta} \equiv \cos\theta_\beta = \sqrt{1-\beta^2}, \quad s_{\theta_\beta} \equiv \sin\theta_\beta = -\beta,\\
&c_{\theta_\delta} \equiv \cos\theta_\delta = \frac{\Delta_Z\sqrt{1-\beta^2}}{\Delta_0}\sqrt{1-\alpha^2}, \quad s_{\theta_\delta} \equiv \sin\theta_\delta = \frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}}{\Delta_0}\alpha.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.67}
$$

. The order parameter $\mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}$ is quite complicated. Nevertheless, the problem is just to find an appropriate rotation in the SU(4) space so that the order parameter has only a single nonzero component after the rotation.

There are two ways. One is by choosing the rotational transformation as

$$
U_{\alpha,\beta}^{s} = \exp[i\theta_\delta T_{yz}]\exp[i\theta_\alpha T_{xy}]V_\beta(\theta_\beta),
\tag{3.68}
$$

with V_β given by (3.38), and we obtain

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu}^{\mathrm{sc}(0)} \equiv \left[U_{\alpha,\beta}^{s}\right]_{\mu\nu}^{\mu'\nu'}\mathcal{I}_{\mu'\nu'}^{(0)} = \delta_{\mu z}\delta_{\nu 0}.
\tag{3.69}
$$

In this rotated basis, the further analysis goes in parallel with that given in the spin phase. Another choice of the rotational transformation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} U^{p}_{\alpha,\beta} &= \exp\left[i\left(\theta_\delta - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)T_{yz}\right]\exp\left[i\left(\theta_\alpha - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)T_{xy}\right]V_\beta(\theta_\beta), \\ &= \exp\left[-i\frac{\pi}{2}T_{yz}\right]\exp\left[-i\frac{\pi}{2}T_{xy}\right]U^{s}_{\alpha,\beta}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.70}$$

obtaining

$$\mathcal{I}^{\text{pc}(0)}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \left[U^{p}_{\alpha,\beta}\right]^{\mu'\nu'}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{I}^{(0)}_{\mu'\nu'} = \delta_{\mu 0}\delta_{\nu x}. \tag{3.71}$$

In this rotated basis, the further analysis goes in parallel with that given in the ppin phase. We call the rotated basis of the SU(4) group given by (3.68), the s-coordinate, and the rotated basis given by (3.70), the p-coordinate. They give the identical results.

We make an analysis by employing the s-coordinate. Namely, we define the SU(4) isospin operator in the s-coordinate by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{\mu\nu}(x) &= \left[U^{s}_{\alpha,\beta}\right]^{\mu'\nu'}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{I}_{\mu'\nu'}(x) \\ &= \left[\exp\left(i\sum_{\gamma\delta}\pi^{\text{sc}}_{\gamma\delta}T_{\gamma\delta}\right)\right]^{\mu'\nu'}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}(0)}_{\mu'\nu'}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.72}$$

where

$$\pi^{\text{sc}}_{\gamma\delta} = \left[U^{s}_{\alpha,\beta}\right]^{\gamma'\delta'}_{\gamma\delta}\pi_{\gamma'\delta'} \tag{3.73}$$

with (3.2) and (3.45).

The eight Goldstone fields are,

$$\mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}(1)}_{x\mu} = -\pi^{\text{sc}}_{y\mu}, \quad \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}(1)}_{y\mu} = \pi^{\text{sc}}_{x\mu}, \tag{3.74}$$

and the symmetry breaking pattern reads

$$\text{SU}(4) \to \text{SU}(2)_{\mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{z0}} \otimes \text{U}(1)_{\mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{0a}} \otimes \text{SU}(2)_{\mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{za}}, \tag{3.75}$$

just as in the cases of the spin/ppin phase.

Here we remark how the Goldstone modes in the CAF phase are transformed into those in spin/ppin phase at the phase boundary. On one hand, the field $\pi^{\text{sc}}_{\mu\nu}$ shift smoothly to the field (3.13), by the inverse transformation of (3.68), or by taking the limit $\alpha, \beta \to 0$, as

$$\pi^{\text{sc}}_{\mu\nu} \to \pi_{\mu\nu}, \tag{3.76}$$

so that subscript of $\pi^{\text{sc}}_{\mu\nu}$ perfectly matches with $\pi_{\mu\nu}$ for each $\mu\nu$ in the spin phase. On the other hand, $\pi^{\text{sc}}_{\mu\nu}$ shift smoothly to (3.43), by the inverse transformation of $\exp(i\theta_\delta T_{yz})\exp(i\theta_\alpha T_{xy})$, or taking the limit $\alpha \to 1$ as

$$\begin{aligned} &\pi^{\text{sc}}_{x0} \to -\pi^{\text{p}}_{zz}, \quad \pi^{\text{sc}}_{y0} \to \pi^{\text{p}}_{zy}, \\ &\pi^{\text{sc}}_{xx} \to -\pi^{\text{p}}_{0z}, \quad \pi^{\text{sc}}_{yx} \to \pi^{\text{p}}_{0y}, \\ &\pi^{\text{sc}}_{xz} \to \pi^{\text{p}}_{yy}, \quad \pi^{\text{sc}}_{yz} \to \pi^{\text{p}}_{yz}, \\ &\pi^{\text{sc}}_{xy} \to \pi^{\text{p}}_{xy}, \quad \pi^{\text{sc}}_{yy} \to \pi^{\text{p}}_{xz}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.77}$$

for the fields in the ppin phase.

We require (3.72) to satisfy the SU(4) algebraic relation,

$$\left[\mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{x\mu}(\boldsymbol{x},t), \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{y\mu}(\boldsymbol{y},t)\right] = i\rho_\Phi^{-1}\mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{z0}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\delta(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}), \tag{3.78}$$

from which we obtain the canonical commutation relation,

$$\left[\tilde{\pi}^{\text{sc}}_{x\mu}(\boldsymbol{x},t), \tilde{\pi}^{\text{sc}}_{y\mu}(\boldsymbol{y},t)\right] = i\delta(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}), \tag{3.79}$$

with $\tilde{\pi}^{\text{sc}}_{\mu\nu} = \rho_\Phi^{1/2}\pi^{\text{sc}}_{\mu\nu}$.

We are able to derive the effective Hamiltonian for the Goldstone modes precisely as we did for the ppin phase. Namely, we obtain the relations between the original fields $\mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu}$ and the fields $\pi^{\text{sc}}_{\gamma\delta}$ from (3.72). We give the explicit relations in Appendix: See (B2), and (B1). Thus we derive the effective Hamiltonian of the Goldstone modes in terms of the canonical sets of $\tilde{\pi}^{\text{sc}}_{x\mu}$ and $\tilde{\pi}^{\text{sc}}_{y\mu}$. Working in the momentum space, the effective Hamiltonian reads,

$$\mathcal{H}^{\text{sc}} = \mathcal{H}^{\text{sc}}_1 + \mathcal{H}^{\text{sc}}_2, \tag{3.80}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}^{\text{sc}}_1 = G^{\text{c}}_{1,\boldsymbol{k}}(\tilde{\pi}^{\text{sc}}_{x0,\boldsymbol{k}})^\dagger\tilde{\pi}^{\text{sc}}_{x0,\boldsymbol{k}} + G^{\text{c}}_{2,\boldsymbol{k}}(\tilde{\pi}^{\text{sc}}_{y0,\boldsymbol{k}})^\dagger\tilde{\pi}^{\text{sc}}_{y0,\boldsymbol{k}}, \tag{3.81}$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{\text{sc}}_2 = \vec{\pi}^{\text{sc}\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\mathcal{M}^{\text{sc}}_2\vec{\pi}^{\text{sc}}_{\boldsymbol{k}}, \tag{3.82}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} G^{\text{c}}_{1,\boldsymbol{k}} &= \frac{2}{\rho_0}J^{\alpha}_1\boldsymbol{k}^2 + \frac{\Delta_0 c^{-1}_{\theta_\beta}}{2}, \\ G^{\text{c}}_{2,\boldsymbol{k}} &= \frac{2}{\rho_0}(c^2_{\theta_\delta}J_s + s^2_{\theta_\delta}J^{\beta}_1)\boldsymbol{k}^2 + \frac{M - 4(s^2_{\theta_\delta}c^2_{\theta_\beta} + c^2_{\theta_\delta})\epsilon^-_X}{2}, \\ J^{\alpha}_1 &= c^2_{\theta_\alpha}J_s + s^2_{\theta_\alpha}J^d_s, \quad M = 4c^2_{\theta_\alpha}\epsilon^-_X + \Delta_0 c^{-1}_{\theta_\beta}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.83}$$

and

$$\vec{\pi}^{\text{sc}}_{\boldsymbol{k}} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\pi}^{\text{sc}}_{xx,\boldsymbol{k}} \\ \tilde{\pi}^{\text{sc}}_{xz,\boldsymbol{k}} \\ \tilde{\pi}^{\text{sc}}_{yy,\boldsymbol{k}} \\ \tilde{\pi}^{\text{sc}}_{yx,\boldsymbol{k}} \\ \tilde{\pi}^{\text{sc}}_{yz,\boldsymbol{k}} \\ \tilde{\pi}^{\text{sc}}_{xy,\boldsymbol{k}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{M}^{\text{sc}}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} A^{\text{c}} & c^{\text{c}} & e^{\text{c}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c^{\text{c}} & C^{\text{c}} & f^{\text{c}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ e^{\text{c}} & f^{\text{c}} & F^{\text{c}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & B^{\text{c}} & a^{\text{c}} & b^{\text{c}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a^{\text{c}} & D^{\text{c}} & d^{\text{c}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b^{\text{c}} & d^{\text{c}} & E^{\text{c}} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.84}$$

The Matrix elements in (3.84) are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\mathrm{c}} &= \frac{2\boldsymbol{k}^2}{\rho_0}\left[c_{\theta_\delta}^2 J_3^\beta + s_{\theta_\delta}^2 J_s^d\right] + \frac{M}{2} - 2s_{\theta_\beta}^2 c_{\theta_\delta}^2 \epsilon_X^-,\\
B^{\mathrm{c}} &= \frac{2\boldsymbol{k}^2}{\rho_0}\left[c_{\theta_\alpha}^2 J_3^\beta + s_{\theta_\alpha}^2 J_1^\beta\right] + \frac{\Delta_0}{2c_{\theta_\beta}} + \frac{c_{\theta_\beta}^2 \epsilon_\alpha}{2},\\
C^{\mathrm{c}} &= \frac{2\boldsymbol{k}^2}{\rho_0} J_1^\beta + \frac{M}{2} - 2c_{\theta_\beta}^2 \epsilon_X^-,\\
D^{\mathrm{c}} &= \frac{2\boldsymbol{k}^2}{\rho_0}\left[c_{\theta_\delta}^2 \left(s_{\theta_\alpha}^2 J_3^\beta + c_{\theta_\alpha}^2 J_1^\beta\right) + s_{\theta_\delta}^2 J_1^\alpha\right] + \frac{\Delta_0}{2c_{\theta_\beta}} + \frac{c_{\theta_\delta}^2 s_{\theta_\beta}^2 \epsilon_\alpha}{2},\\
E^{\mathrm{c}} &= \frac{2\boldsymbol{k}^2}{\rho_0}\left[s_{\theta_\delta}^2 \left(c_{\theta_\alpha}^2 J_3^\beta + s_{\theta_\alpha}^2 J_1^\beta\right) + c_{\theta_\delta}^2 J_3^\alpha\right] + \frac{M}{2}\\
&\quad + s_{\theta_\beta}^2 s_{\theta_\delta}^2 c_{\theta_\alpha}^2 \epsilon_{\mathrm{cap}} - 2(c_{\theta_\beta}^2 s_{\theta_\delta}^2 + c_{\theta_\delta}^2) s_{\theta_\alpha}^2 \epsilon_X^-,\\
F^{\mathrm{c}} &= \frac{2\boldsymbol{k}^2}{\rho_0} J_s^d + \frac{M}{2},
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.85}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{\mathrm{c}} &= \frac{2\boldsymbol{k}^2}{\rho_0} c_{\theta_\delta} c_{2\theta_\alpha} J_2^\beta + \frac{s_{2\theta_\beta} c_{\theta_\delta}}{4}\epsilon_\alpha,\\
b^{\mathrm{c}} &= -\frac{2\boldsymbol{k}^2}{\rho_0} s_{\theta_\delta} s_{2\theta_\alpha} J_2^\beta + L + \frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}}{4\Delta_0} c_{\theta_\alpha} s_{2\theta_\beta}\epsilon_\alpha,\\
c^{\mathrm{c}} &= \frac{2\boldsymbol{k}^2}{\rho_0} c_{\theta_\delta} J_2^\beta + s_{2\theta_\beta} c_{\theta_\delta}\epsilon_X^-,\\
d^{\mathrm{c}} &= -\frac{s_{2\theta_\alpha} s_{2\theta_\delta}}{4}[\frac{2\boldsymbol{k}^2}{\rho_0}\left(J_1^\beta + J_s^d - J_3^\beta - J_s\right)\\
&\quad + s_{\theta_\beta}^2(2\epsilon_X^- - \epsilon_{\mathrm{cap}})] - \frac{N}{2},\\
e^{\mathrm{c}} &= -\frac{L}{2}, \quad f^{\mathrm{c}} = \frac{N}{2},
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.86}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_1^\beta &= c_{\theta_\beta}^2 J_s + s_{\theta_\beta}^2 J_s^d,\ J_2^\beta = \frac{s_{2\theta_\beta}}{2}(J_s^d - J_s),\\
J_3^\beta &= c_{\theta_\beta}^2 J_s^d + s_{\theta_\beta}^2 J_s,\ J_3^\alpha = c_{\theta_\alpha}^2 J_s^d + s_{\theta_\alpha}^2 J_s,\\
L &= -\frac{s_{2\theta_\beta}}{2}\left[s_{\theta_\delta} s_{2\theta_\alpha}(2\epsilon_X^- - \epsilon_{\mathrm{cap}}) + c_{\theta_\alpha}\frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}}{\Delta_0}\epsilon_\alpha\right],\\
N &= \frac{s_{2\theta_\delta} s_{2\theta_\alpha} s_{\theta_\beta}^2}{2}(2\epsilon_X^- - \epsilon_{\mathrm{cap}}) + \frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}}{\Delta_0}(c_{\theta_\delta} c_{\theta_\alpha} s_{\theta_\beta}^2 \epsilon_\alpha + \Delta_Z),\\
\epsilon_\alpha &= 4c_{\theta_\alpha}^2 \epsilon_X^- + 2s_{\theta_\alpha}^2 \epsilon_{\mathrm{cap}},
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.87}
$$

where we denote $s_{2\theta_\alpha} = \sin 2\theta_\alpha$, $s_{2\theta_\beta} = \sin 2\theta_\beta$, and $s_{2\theta_\delta} = \sin 2\theta_\delta$.

It can be verified that the effective Hamiltonian (3.81) and (3.82) reproduce the effective Hamiltonian in the spin phase (3.20), by taking the limit $\alpha \to 0$ first, and then diagonalize this Hamiltonian with the transformation V_β^{-1}, or taking $\alpha, \beta \to 0$. On the other hand, we reproduce the effective Hamiltonian in the ppin phase (3.50), by taking the limit $\alpha \to 1$, in (3.81) and (3.82).

D. CAF phase in $\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}} \to 0$

The effective Hamiltonian in the CAF phase is too complicated to make a further analysis. We take the limit $\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}} \to 0$ to examine if some simplified formulas are obtained. In particular we would like to seek for gapless modes. Such gapless modes will play an important role to drive the interlayer coherence in the CAF phase.

In this limit we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&c_{\theta_\beta} = \frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}}{\Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}}, \quad s_{\theta_\beta} = \pm\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}}{\Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}}\right)^2}\\
&c_{\theta_\delta} = c_{\theta_\alpha}, \quad s_{\theta_\delta} = s_{\theta_\alpha}, \quad \Delta_0 c_{\theta_\beta}^{-1} = \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}},\\
&a^{\mathrm{c}} = b^{\mathrm{c}} = c^{\mathrm{c}} = e^{\mathrm{c}} = L = 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.88}
$$

By using the above equations, (3.81) become

$$
\mathcal{H}_1^{\mathrm{sc}} = \left[\frac{4}{\rho_0} J_1^\alpha k^2 + \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}\right] \eta_{1,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}\dagger} \eta_{1,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}},
\tag{3.89}
$$

with

$$
\eta_{1,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}} = \frac{\tilde{\pi}_{x0,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}} + i\tilde{\pi}_{y0,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}{\sqrt{2}}.
\tag{3.90}
$$

From (3.89) we have the dispersion and the coherence length for mode η_1^{sc}

$$
E^{\eta_1^{\mathrm{sc}}} = \frac{4}{\rho_0} J_1^\alpha \boldsymbol{k}^2 + \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}, \qquad \xi^{\eta_1^{\mathrm{sc}}} = 2l_B\sqrt{\frac{\pi J_1^\alpha}{\Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}}}.
\tag{3.91}
$$

This mode is reminiscent of the spin wave (3.24) in the spin phase.

We next investigate $\mathcal{H}_2^{\mathrm{sc}}$ in (3.82). It yields

$$
\mathcal{H}_2^{\mathrm{sc}} = \mathcal{H}_{2,1}^{\mathrm{sc}} + \mathcal{H}_{2,2}^{\mathrm{sc}},
\tag{3.92}
$$

$$
\mathcal{H}_{2,1}^{\mathrm{sc}} = \left[\frac{4}{\rho_0} J_1^\alpha \boldsymbol{k}^2 + \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}\right] \eta_{2,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}\dagger} \eta_{2,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}},
\tag{3.93}
$$

$$
\mathcal{H}_{2,2}^{\mathrm{sc}} = \vec{\pi}_{2,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}\dagger} \mathcal{M}_{2,2}^{\mathrm{sc}} \vec{\pi}_{2,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}},
\tag{3.94}
$$

where

$$
\eta_{2,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}} = \frac{\tilde{\pi}_{xx,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}} + i\tilde{\pi}_{yx,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}{\sqrt{2}},
\tag{3.95}
$$

and

$$
\vec{\pi}_{2,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\pi}_{xz,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}} \\ \tilde{\pi}_{yy,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}} \\ \tilde{\pi}_{yz,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}} \\ \tilde{\pi}_{xy,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{M}_{2,2}^{\mathrm{sc}} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{C}^{\mathrm{c}} & \tilde{f}^{\mathrm{c}} & 0 & 0 \\ \tilde{f}^{\mathrm{c}} & \tilde{F}^{\mathrm{c}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tilde{D}^{\mathrm{c}} & \tilde{d}^{\mathrm{c}} \\ 0 & 0 & \tilde{d}^{\mathrm{c}} & \tilde{D}^{\mathrm{c}} \end{pmatrix},
\tag{3.96}
$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{C}^{\mathrm{c}} &= \frac{2\boldsymbol{k}^2}{\rho_0}J_s^d + \frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}}{2} + 2\epsilon_X^- \left(c_{\theta_\alpha}^2 - \frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}^2}{\Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^2}\right), \\
\tilde{F}^{\mathrm{c}} &= \frac{2\boldsymbol{k}^2}{\rho_0}J_s^d + \frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}}{2} + 2c_{\theta_\alpha}^2\epsilon_X^-, \\
\tilde{D}^{\mathrm{c}} &= \frac{2\boldsymbol{k}^2}{\rho_0}(c_{\theta_\alpha}^2 J_3^\alpha + s_{\theta_\alpha}^2 J_1^\alpha) + \frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}}{2} + \frac{c_{\theta_\alpha}^2\epsilon_\alpha}{2}, \\
\tilde{d}^{\mathrm{c}} &= \frac{\boldsymbol{k}^2}{\rho_0}s_{2\theta_\alpha}^2(J_s - J_s^d) - \frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}}{2} + c_{\theta_\alpha}^2(s_{\theta_\alpha}^2\epsilon_{\mathrm{cap}} - 2(1+s_{\theta_\alpha}^2)\epsilon_X^-), \\
\tilde{f}^{\mathrm{c}} &= \frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}}{2} + 2c_{\theta_\alpha}^2\epsilon_X^- \left(1 - \frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}}^2}{\Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}^2}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.97}$$

From (3.93) we have the dispersion and the coherence length for the mode η_2^{sc},

$$E^{\eta_2^{\mathrm{sc}}} = \frac{4}{\rho_0}J_1^\alpha \boldsymbol{k}^2 + \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}, \qquad \xi^{\eta_2^{\mathrm{sc}}} = 2l_B\sqrt{\frac{\pi J_1^\alpha}{\Delta_{\mathrm{Z}}}}, \tag{3.98}$$

which have exactly the same value as (3.91).

We next analyze $\mathcal{H}_{2,2}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ and take $\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}} = 0$ for the sake of the simplicity. This Hamiltonian can be diagonalized as

$$\mathcal{H}_{2,2}^{\mathrm{sc}} = \begin{pmatrix} \check{\pi}_{xz,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}} \\ \check{\pi}_{yy,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}} \\ \check{\pi}_{yz,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}} \\ \check{\pi}_{xy,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}} \end{pmatrix}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{xz}^{\mathrm{sc}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{yy}^{\mathrm{sc}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_{yz}^{\mathrm{sc}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_{xy}^{\mathrm{sc}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \check{\pi}_{xz,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}} \\ \check{\pi}_{yy,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}} \\ \check{\pi}_{yz,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}} \\ \check{\pi}_{xy,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.99}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{xz}^{\mathrm{sc}} &= \tilde{F}^{\mathrm{c}} + \tilde{f}^{\mathrm{c}} = \frac{2\boldsymbol{k}^2}{\rho_0}J_s^d + \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}} + 4c_{\theta_\alpha}^2\epsilon_X^-, \\
\lambda_{yy}^{\mathrm{sc}} &= \tilde{F}^{\mathrm{c}} - \tilde{f}^{\mathrm{c}} = \frac{2\boldsymbol{k}^2}{\rho_0}J_s^d, \\
\lambda_{yz}^{\mathrm{sc}} &= \tilde{D}^{\mathrm{c}} + \tilde{d}^{\mathrm{c}} = \frac{2\boldsymbol{k}^2}{\rho_0}(c_{2\theta_\alpha}^2 J_s^d + s_{2\theta_\alpha}^2 J_s) + 2s_{2\theta_\alpha}^2(\epsilon_D^- - \epsilon_X^-), \\
\lambda_{xy}^{\mathrm{sc}} &= \tilde{D}^{\mathrm{c}} - \tilde{d}^{\mathrm{c}} = \frac{2\boldsymbol{k}^2}{\rho_0}J_s^d + \Delta_{\mathrm{Z}} + 4c_{\theta_\alpha}^2\epsilon_X^-,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.100}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\check{\pi}_{xz,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}} &= \frac{\tilde{\pi}_{xz,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}} + \tilde{\pi}_{yy,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \check{\pi}_{yy,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}} = \frac{-\tilde{\pi}_{xz,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}} + \tilde{\pi}_{yy,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}{\sqrt{2}}, \\
\check{\pi}_{yz,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}} &= \frac{\tilde{\pi}_{yz,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}} + \tilde{\pi}_{xy,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \check{\pi}_{xy,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}} = \frac{-\tilde{\pi}_{yz,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}} + \tilde{\pi}_{xy,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}{\sqrt{2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.101}$$

The fields (3.101) satisfy the commutation relation

$$\left[\check{\pi}_{xy,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}}, \check{\pi}_{xz,\boldsymbol{k}'}^{\mathrm{sc}}\right] = i\delta(\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{k}'), \ \left[\check{\pi}_{yz,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}}, \check{\pi}_{yy,\boldsymbol{k}'}^{\mathrm{sc}}\right] = i\delta(\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{k}'). \tag{3.102}$$

We can rewrite the Hamiltonian (3.99) as

$$H_{2,2}^{\mathrm{sc}} = \int d^2k \mathcal{H}_{2,2}^{\mathrm{sc}} = \int d^2k \left[E^{\eta_3^{\mathrm{sc}}}\eta_{3,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}\dagger}\eta_{3,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}} + E^{\eta_4^{\mathrm{sc}}}\eta_{4,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}\dagger}\eta_{4,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\right], \tag{3.103}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
E^{\eta_3^{\mathrm{sc}}} &= \frac{4\boldsymbol{k}^2}{\rho_0}J_s^d + 2\Delta_{\mathrm{Z}} + 8c_{\theta_\alpha}^2\epsilon_X^-, \\
E^{\eta_4^{\mathrm{sc}}} &= |\boldsymbol{k}|\sqrt{\frac{8J_s^d}{\rho_0}\left(\frac{2\boldsymbol{k}^2}{\rho_0}(c_{2\theta_\alpha}^2 J_s^d + s_{2\theta_\alpha}^2 J_s) + 2s_{2\theta_\alpha}^2(\epsilon_D^- - \epsilon_X^-)\right)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.104}$$

The annihilation operators $\eta_{i,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ $(i = 3, 4)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_{3,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}} &= \frac{\check{\pi}_{xy,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}} + \check{\pi}_{xz,\boldsymbol{k}'}^{\mathrm{sc}}}{\sqrt{2}}, \\
\eta_{4,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{yz}^{\mathrm{sc}}}{\lambda_{yy}^{\mathrm{sc}}}}\check{\pi}_{yz,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}} + i\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{yy}^{\mathrm{sc}}}{\lambda_{yz}^{\mathrm{sc}}}}\check{\pi}_{yy,\boldsymbol{k}'}^{\mathrm{sc}}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.105}$$

They satisfy the commutation relation,

$$\left[\eta_{i,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}}, \eta_{j,\boldsymbol{k}'}^{\mathrm{sc}\dagger}\right] = \delta_{ij}\delta(\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{k}'), \tag{3.106}$$

with $i, j = 3, 4$.

We summarize the Goldstone modes in the CAF phase in the limit $\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}} \to 0$. It is to be emphasized that there emerges one gapless mode, $\eta_{4,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$, reflecting the realization of an exact and its spontaneous breaking of a U(1) part of the SU(4) rotational symmetry. Furthermore, it has the linear dispersion relation as in (3.104), as leads to a superfluidity associated with this gapless mode. All other modes have gaps.

We comment on the existence of the two modes (3.90) and (3.95). Their dispersions (3.91) and (3.98) are similar to that of the spin wave (3.25). The difference between the dispersion of these two modes and the spin wave is the stiffness dependence. (3.91) and (3.98) have the stiffness structure of the linear combination of the intralayer stiffness and interlayer stiffness. This can be understand because the CAF phase has a layer correlation. The other modes are massive due to the Coulomb energy and the Zeeman gap.

IV. DISCUSSION

We have presented a systematic method based on the formula (3.2) to investigate the symmetry breaking pattern and to derive the effective Hamiltonian for the Goldstone modes in the ν=2 bilayer QH system. There are eight Goldstone modes in each phase, which are shown to be smoothly transformed one to another across the phase boundary. In particular, we have analyzed the CAF phase in detail.

The interlayer phase coherence and the Josephson effect are among the most intriguing phenomena in the $\nu = 1$ bilayer QH system[1]. They are enhanced in the limit $\Delta_{\mathrm{SAS}} \to 0$. It is natural to seek for similar phenomena in the $\nu = 2$ bilayer QH system. We may naively expect them to occur in the ppin phase. However, as we have found, almost all electrons are moved to one of the layers in this limit.

This is not the case in the CAF phase, where the electron densities can be controlled arbitrarily in both layers. In the CAF phase we have investigated the dispersion relations and the coherence length in the limit $\Delta_{\text{SAS}} \to 0$. Remarkably, we have found one coherent mode whose coherence length diverges. Furthermore it has the linear dispersion relation. It might be responsible to the interlayer phase coherence.

Acknowledgements

Y. Hama thanks Kazuhiro Watanabe, Tomoki Ozawa, Tetsuo Hatsuda, Kazunori Itakura, Taro Kanao, Takahiro Mikami, and Gergely Fejos for useful discussions and comments. This research was supported in part by JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists, and a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) of Japan (Nos. 23340067, 24740184, 21540254).

Appendix A

The special unitary group SU(N) has (N^2-1) generators. According to the standard notation from elementary particle physics[28], we denote them as λ_A, $A = 1, 2, \ldots, N^2-1$, which are represented by Hermitian, traceless, $N \times N$ matrices, and normalize them as

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\lambda_A \lambda_B) = 2\delta_{AB}. \tag{A1}$$

They are characterized by

$$\begin{aligned} [\lambda_A, \lambda_B] &= 2if_{ABC}\lambda_C, \\ \{\lambda_A, \lambda_B\} &= \frac{4}{N}2d_{ABC}\lambda_C, \end{aligned} \tag{A2}$$

where f_{ABC} and d_{ABC} are the structure constant of SU(N). We have $\lambda_A = \tau_A$ (the Pauli matrix) with $f_{ABC} = \epsilon_{ABC}$ and $d_{ABC} = 0$ in the case of SU(2).

This standard representation is not convenient for our purpose because the spin group is SU(2) × SU(2) in the bilayer electron system with the four-component electron field as $\Psi = (\psi^{\text{f}\uparrow}, \psi^{\text{f}\downarrow}, \psi^{\text{b}\uparrow}, \psi^{\text{b}\downarrow})$. Embedding SU(2) × SU(2) into SU(4) we define the spin matrix by

$$\tau_a^{\text{spin}} = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_a & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_a \end{pmatrix}, \tag{A3}$$

where $a = x, y, z$, and the pseudospin matrices by,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_x^{\text{ppin}} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1}_2 \\ \mathbf{1}_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau_y^{\text{ppin}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\mathbf{1}_2 \\ i\mathbf{1}_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \tau_z^{\text{ppin}} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{1}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \tag{A4}$$

where $\mathbf{1}_2$ is the unit matrix in two dimensions. Nine remaining matrices are simple products of the spin and pseudospin matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau_a^{\text{spin}}\tau_x^{\text{ppin}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tau_a \\ \tau_a & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau_a^{\text{spin}}\tau_y^{\text{ppin}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\tau_a \\ i\tau_a & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ &\tau_a^{\text{spin}}\tau_z^{\text{ppin}} = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_a & 0 \\ 0 & -\tau_a \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{A5}$$

We denote them $T_{a0} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\tau_a^{\text{spin}}$, $T_{0a} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\tau_a^{\text{ppin}}$, $T_{ab} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\tau_a^{\text{spin}}\tau_b^{\text{ppin}}$. They satisfy the normalization condition

$$\mathrm{Tr}(T_{\mu\nu}T_{\gamma\delta}) = \delta_{\mu\gamma}\delta_{\nu\delta}, \tag{A6}$$

and the commutation relations

$$[T_{\mu\nu}, T_{\gamma\delta}] = if_{\mu\nu,\gamma\delta,\mu'\nu'}T_{\mu'\nu'}, \tag{A7}$$

where $f_{\mu\nu,\gamma\delta,\mu\prime\nu\prime}$ is the SU(4) structure constants in the basis (A3)-(A5). Greek indices run over $0, x, y, z$.

Appendix B

We express the rotated isospin fields $\mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu}^{\text{sc}}$ in terms of the eight Goldstone fields $\pi_{\mu\nu}^{\text{sc}}$ up to the second order,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{I}_{x\mu}^{\text{sc}} = -\pi_{y\mu}^{\text{sc}} + \mathcal{O}(\pi^2), \ \mathcal{I}_{y\mu}^{\text{sc}} = \pi_{x\mu}^{\text{sc}} + \mathcal{O}(\pi^2), \\ &\mathcal{I}_{0y}^{\text{sc}} = \frac{\pi_{xz}^{\text{sc}}\pi_{yx}^{\text{sc}} + \pi_{yx}^{\text{sc}}\pi_{xz}^{\text{sc}} - \pi_{yz}^{\text{sc}}\pi_{xx}^{\text{sc}} - \pi_{xx}^{\text{sc}}\pi_{yz}^{\text{sc}}}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\pi^3), \\ &\mathcal{I}_{0z}^{\text{sc}} = \frac{\pi_{xx}^{\text{sc}}\pi_{yy}^{\text{sc}} + \pi_{yy}^{\text{sc}}\pi_{xx}^{\text{sc}} - \pi_{xy}^{\text{sc}}\pi_{yx}^{\text{sc}} - \pi_{yx}^{\text{sc}}\pi_{xy}^{\text{sc}}}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\pi^3), \\ &\mathcal{I}_{zx}^{\text{sc}} = -\frac{\pi_{yx}^{\text{sc}}\pi_{y0}^{\text{sc}} + \pi_{y0}^{\text{sc}}\pi_{yx}^{\text{sc}} + \pi_{xx}^{\text{sc}}\pi_{x0}^{\text{sc}} + \pi_{x0}^{\text{sc}}\pi_{xx}^{\text{sc}}}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\pi^3), \\ &\mathcal{I}_{zy}^{\text{sc}} = -\frac{\pi_{yy}^{\text{sc}}\pi_{y0}^{\text{sc}} + \pi_{y0}^{\text{sc}}\pi_{yy}^{\text{sc}} + \pi_{xy}^{\text{sc}}\pi_{x0}^{\text{sc}} + \pi_{x0}^{\text{sc}}\pi_{xy}^{\text{sc}}}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\pi^3), \\ &\mathcal{I}_{zz}^{\text{sc}} = -\frac{\pi_{yz}^{\text{sc}}\pi_{y0}^{\text{sc}} + \pi_{y0}^{\text{sc}}\pi_{yz}^{\text{sc}} + \pi_{xz}^{\text{sc}}\pi_{x0}^{\text{sc}} + \pi_{x0}^{\text{sc}}\pi_{xz}^{\text{sc}}}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\pi^3), \\ &\mathcal{I}_{0x}^{\text{sc}} = \frac{\pi_{xy}^{\text{sc}}\pi_{yz}^{\text{sc}} + \pi_{yz}^{\text{sc}}\pi_{xy}^{\text{sc}} - \pi_{yy}^{\text{sc}}\pi_{xz}^{\text{sc}} - \pi_{xz}^{\text{sc}}\pi_{yy}^{\text{sc}}}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\pi^3), \\ &\mathcal{I}_{z0}^{\text{sc}} = 1 - \sum_{\mu=0,x,y,z} \frac{(\pi_{x\mu}^{\text{sc}})^2 + (\pi_{y\mu}^{\text{sc}})^2}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\pi^3). \end{aligned} \tag{B1}$$

We next give the relation between the original isospin field $\mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu}$ and the rotated field $\mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu}^{\text{sc}}$ in the s-coordinate of the CAF phase.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_{0x} &= c_{\theta_\alpha} c_{\theta_\beta} c_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{0x} + c_{\theta_\alpha} s_{\theta_\beta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{0z} - s_{\theta_\alpha} s_{\theta_\beta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{xx} + s_{\theta_\alpha} c_{\theta_\beta} c_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{xz} \\
&\quad - c_{\theta_\alpha} c_{\theta_\beta} s_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{yy} + s_{\theta_\alpha} c_{\theta_\beta} s_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{z0}, \\
\mathcal{I}_{0y} &= c_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{0y} + s_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{yx}, \\
\mathcal{I}_{0z} &= -c_{\theta_\alpha} s_{\theta_\beta} c_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{0x} + c_{\theta_\alpha} c_{\theta_\beta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{0z} - s_{\theta_\alpha} c_{\theta_\beta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{xx} - s_{\theta_\alpha} s_{\theta_\beta} c_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{xz} \\
&\quad + c_{\theta_\alpha} s_{\theta_\beta} s_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{yy} - s_{\theta_\alpha} s_{\theta_\beta} s_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{z0}, \\
\mathcal{I}_{x0} &= c_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{x0} + s_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{zz}, \\
\mathcal{I}_{xx} &= -s_{\theta_\alpha} s_{\theta_\beta} c_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{0x} + s_{\theta_\alpha} c_{\theta_\beta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{0z} + c_{\theta_\alpha} c_{\theta_\beta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{xx} + c_{\theta_\alpha} s_{\theta_\beta} c_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{xz} \\
&\quad + s_{\theta_\alpha} s_{\theta_\beta} s_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{yy} + c_{\theta_\alpha} s_{\theta_\beta} s_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{z0}, \\
\mathcal{I}_{xy} &= \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{xy}, \\
\mathcal{I}_{xz} &= -s_{\theta_\alpha} c_{\theta_\beta} c_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{0x} - s_{\theta_\alpha} s_{\theta_\beta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{0z} - c_{\theta_\alpha} s_{\theta_\beta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{xx} + c_{\theta_\alpha} c_{\theta_\beta} c_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{xz} \\
&\quad + s_{\theta_\alpha} c_{\theta_\beta} s_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{yy} + c_{\theta_\alpha} c_{\theta_\beta} s_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{z0}, \\
\mathcal{I}_{y0} &= c_{\theta_\alpha} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{y0} - s_{\theta_\alpha} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{zy}, \\
\mathcal{I}_{yx} &= -c_{\theta_\beta} s_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{0y} + c_{\theta_\beta} c_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{yx} + s_{\theta_\beta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{yz}, \\
\mathcal{I}_{yy} &= c_{\theta_\alpha} s_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{0x} + s_{\theta_\alpha} s_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{xz} + c_{\theta_\alpha} c_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{yy} - s_{\theta_\alpha} c_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{z0}, \\
\mathcal{I}_{yz} &= s_{\theta_\beta} s_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{0y} - s_{\theta_\beta} c_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{yx} + c_{\theta_\beta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{yz}, \\
\mathcal{I}_{z0} &= s_{\theta_\alpha} s_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{0x} - c_{\theta_\alpha} s_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{xz} + s_{\theta_\alpha} c_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{yy} + c_{\theta_\alpha} c_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{z0}, \\
\mathcal{I}_{zx} &= -s_{\theta_\beta} s_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{x0} + c_{\theta_\beta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{zx} + s_{\theta_\beta} c_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{zz}, \\
\mathcal{I}_{zy} &= s_{\theta_\alpha} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{y0} + c_{\theta_\alpha} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{zy}, \\
\mathcal{I}_{zz} &= -c_{\theta_\beta} s_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{x0} - s_{\theta_\beta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{zx} + c_{\theta_\beta} c_{\theta_\delta} \mathcal{I}^{\text{sc}}_{zz}, \qquad \text{(B2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

with (3.67).

[1] Z. F. Ezawa, *Quantum Hall effects: Field theoretical approach and related topics, Second Edition* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2008).

[2] *Perspectives in Quantum Hall Effects,* edited by S. Das Sarma and A. Pinczuk (Wiley, New york, 1997).

[3] L. Zheng, R. J. Radtke, and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 2453 (1997).

[4] S. Das Sarma, S. Sachdev, and L. Zheng, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 917 (1997); Phys. Rev. B **58**, 4672 (1998).

[5] E. Demler and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 3895 (1999).

[6] K. Yang, Phys. Rev. B **60**, 15578 (1999).

[7] Y. Shimoda, T. Nakajima, and A. Sawada, Physica E (Amsterdam) **22**, 56 (2004).

[8] J. Schliemann and A. H. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 4437 (2000).

[9] A. H. MacDonald, R. Rajaraman, and T. Jungwirth, Phys. Rev. B **60**, 8817 (1999).

[10] L. Brey, E. Demler and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 168 (1999).

[11] V. Pellegrini, A. Pinczuk, B. S. Dennis, A. S. Plaut, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 310 (1997).

[12] V. Pellegrini, A. Pinczuk, B. S. Dennis, A. S. Plaut, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, Science **281**, 779 (1998).

[13] V. S. Khrapai, E. V. Deviatov, A. A. Shashkin, V. T. Dolgopolov, F. Hastreiter, A. Wixforth, K. L. Campman, and A. C. Gossard, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 725 (2000).

[14] S. J. Geer, A. G. Davies, C. H. W. Barnes, K. R. Zolleis, M. Y. Simmons, and D. A. Ritchie, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 045318 (2002).

[15] A. Sawada, Z. F. Ezawa, H. Ohno, Y. Horikoshi, Y. Ohno, S. Kishimoto, F. Matsukura, M. Yasumoto, and A. Urayama, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 4534 (1998).

[16] A. Sawada, Z. F. Ezawa, H. Ohno, Y. Horikoshi, A. Urayama, Y. Ohno, S. Kishimoto, F. Matsukura, and N. Kumada, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 14888 (1999).

[17] N. Kumada, D. Terasawa, M. Morino, K. Tagashira, A. Sawada, Z. F. Ezawa, K. Muraki, Y. Hirayama, and T. Saku, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 155319 (2004).

[18] A. Fukuda, A. Sawada, S. Kozumi, D. Terasawa, Y. Shimoda, and Z. F. Ezawa, N. Kumada, and Y. Hirayama, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 165304 (2006).

[19] N. Kumada, K. Muraki, and Y. Hirayama, Science **313**, 329 (2006); Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 076805 (2007); Physica E **40**, (2008).

[20] Z. F. Ezawa, M. Eliashvili and G. Tsitsishvili, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 125318 (2005) [arXiv:cond-mat/0501476].

[21] Z. F. Ezawa and K. Hasebe, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 075311 (2002) [arXiv:cond-mat/0104448].

[22] K. Hasebe, Physics Letters A **319**, (2003).

[23] Z. F. Ezawa and G. Tsitsishvili, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 125304 (2004) [arXiv:cond-mat/0311406].

[24] G. Tsitsishvili and Z. F. Ezawa,

[25] Z. F. Ezawa and G. Tsitsishvili, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 085002 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0509073].

[26] S. R. Coleman, J. Wess and B. Zumino, Phys. Rev. **177**, 2239 (1969).

[27] C. G. Callan, Jr., S. R. Coleman, J. Wess and B. Zumino, Phys. Rev. **177**, 2247 (1969).
[28] M. Gell-Mann and Y. Ne'eman, *The eight-fold Way*, (Benjamin, New York, 1964).